



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,246	12/29/2000	Louis A. Lippincott	42390P9941	8803
8791	7590	12/09/2005	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			HO, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/753,246	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIPPINCOTT, LOUIS A.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas M. Ho	<b>Art Unit</b> 2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.
2. The amendment of 9/12/05 has been received and entered.

***Response to Amendments***

3. In view of the amendments of 9/12/05, a new grounds of rejection has been issued.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easter et al. US patent 5530749 and Elgamal et al., US patent 5657390.

In reference to claim 1:

Easter et al. (Column 6, lines 15- Column 7, line 23) discloses a computer product, comprising:

- first computer readable program code embodied in a computer usable medium to cause a computer to receive a message that includes an encrypted hardware configuration code for configuring hardware and receive a key associated with an encrypted code defining a unique hardware configuration,

- where the hardware configuration code is the value  $N_c$ , and where  $N_c$  is part of a message that is sent to the cryptographic configuration processor hardware, and therefore “received” by such hardware, and where the hardware configuration is encrypted or “encoded” with an XOR operation.(Column 6, lines 43-58) & (Column 7, lines 15-25) and a key is received by the computer through an optical disk (Column 6, lines 59-62), and the key that is received is  $K_p$  (Column 6, lines 43-58).
- second computer readable program code embodied in a computer usable medium to cause a computer to decrypt the encrypted code based upon the stored key, where the encrypted code is decrypted with the public key (Column 7, lines 15-23)
- third computer readable program code embodied in a computer usable medium to cause a computer to program a logic array based upon the decrypted key to establish a unique hardware configuration, where the logic array within the chip is based upon the decrypted key used to establish the hardware configuration. (Column 3, lines 65 – Column 4, line 10)

Easter et al. fails to explicitly disclose an program code to cause a computer to perform a decryption operation on encrypted information utilizing the unique hardware configuration.

(Elgamal et al. Figure 12c) discloses a method in which a computer with a hardware configuration in a computer is used to decrypt encrypted information using the Secure Sockets Layer Protocol.

Art Unit: 2134

Elgamal (Column 1, lines 10-20) also teaches that there is a need for confidentiality of communications in a network transmission.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further use the computer whose hardware had been configured to decrypt encrypted information it received such as SSL, or other encryption/decryption operations common to web usage, in order to fulfill the need to ensure confidentiality of computer network communications.

In reference to claim 2:

Easter et al. (Column 4, line 60 – Column 5, line 28) & (Column 4, lines 3-23) & (Column 6, lines 59-62) discloses the computer product claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

- fifth computer readable program code embodied in a computer usable medium to cause a computer to route encrypted information through a peripheral device to the logic array, where the logic array is where the data on the module is stored (Column 4, lines 3-23), and where information from the peripheral device, the CD-ROM or the disk drive is loaded, and where the information that is loaded includes the encrypted signed configuration signature. (Column 6, lines 59-62)

In reference to claim 3:

Easter et al. (Column 4, line 60 – Column 5, line 28) discloses the computer product claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

- fifth computer readable program code embodied in a computer usable medium to cause a computer to route the incoming information through a memory interface to the logic array, where the incoming information passes from a memory interface, the interface for the CD-ROM or disk which contains the key, to the module where the data is stored in the logic array.

In reference to claim 4:

Easter et al. (Column 4, lines 2-23) discloses the computer product claimed in claim 1, wherein the logic array includes a programmable an array of gates, where the logic array is a fusible set of wires available to logic at the other end.

In reference to claim 5:

Easter et al. (Column 4, line 60 – Column 5, line 8) discloses an electronic system comprising:

- at least one peripheral device, where the peripheral device is a CD-ROM, or a floppy disk drive which can read the flopping disk.
- a memory for storing a key associated with incoming information; and, where the floppy disk is an example of memory used for storing the key associated with incoming information, where the incoming information is the hardware configuration data.
- a chipset in communication with the at least one peripheral device, the chipset including circuitry to receive a message that includes an encrypted hardware configuration code for configuring hardware, program an array of gates based upon the key associated with the incoming information, where the chipset in communication with the peripheral device is

the module in communication with a CD-ROM drive or disk drive to read the key, where this information is later used program an array of gates based on that key. (Column 6, lines 25-31), and where the key is sent out to be received by the cryptographic configuration processor hardware and its circuitry therein. (Column 6, lines 43-57)

Easter et al. fails to explicitly disclose a method comprising decrypting the incoming information based on the programmed array of gates and circuitry to perform a decryption operation on the incoming information based on the configured array of gates.

However, since the configuration data is ultimately used to configure a computer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the hardware configured computer to decrypt encrypted transmissions that it received such as SSL, or other encryption/decryption operations common to web usage, in order to allow any network transactions that involved encryption and decryption.

In reference to claim 6:

Easter et al. (Column 4, lines 60 – Column 5, line 35) discloses the electronic system claimed in claim 5, further comprising:

circuitry for routing the incoming information from a peripheral device through the configured array of gates, where the key from the peripheral device(the disk or CD-ROM drive) is loaded into the module compared with the data on the configured array of gates.

In reference to claim 7:

Easter et al. (Column 4, lines 60 – Column 5, line 35) discloses the electronic system claimed in claim 5, further comprising circuitry for routing the incoming information from a memory device, the disk or CD-ROM through the configured array of gates, where the key from the memory device(the disk or CD-ROM) is loaded into the module compared with the data on the configured array of gates.

In reference to claim 8:

Easter et al. (Column 5, lines 20-25) discloses the electronic system claimed in claim 5, wherein the memory is a non-volatile memory, where the nonvolatile memory is a ROM or diskette.

In reference to claim 9:

Easter et al. (Column 6, lines 15-50) discloses the electronic system claimed in claim 5, wherein the key is a public key, where the public key is  $K_p$ .

In reference to claim 10:

Easter et al. (Column 6, lines 15-50) discloses the electronic system claimed in claim 8, wherein the key is a non-public key, where the non-public key is  $K_s$ .

Claims 11 –17 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-7.

In reference to claim 18:



Easter et al. (Column 4, lines 3-23) discloses the method claimed in claim 15, wherein programming an array of gates based upon the key(column 6, lines 28-32) associated with the incoming information further comprises:

programming the array of gates to provide for a unique hardware configuration upon command, where the programmed array of gates contains the data which will provide for the unique hardware configuration (Column 5, lines 4-7), and where the programmed array of gates is also in itself a unique hardware configuration. (Column 4, lines 8-10)

In reference to claim 19:

Easter et al. (Column 5, lines 20-25) discloses the method claimed in claim 15, wherein programming an array of gates based upon the key associated with the incoming information further comprises:

receiving instructions from a processor, where receiving the key to be programmed may also be received from a “service processor”.

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8.

### ***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Ho whose telephone number is (571)272-3835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Application/Control Number: 09/753,246

Page 9

Art Unit: 2134

Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838.

The Examiner may also be reached through email through [Thomas.Ho6@uspto.gov](mailto:Thomas.Ho6@uspto.gov)


Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

General Information/Receptionist Telephone: 571-272-2100 Fax: 703-872-9306

Customer Service Representative Telephone: 571-272-2100 Fax: 703-872-9306

TMH

December 6<sup>th</sup>, 2005

  
GREGORY MORSE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100